



# **Malpractice Policy (Exams)**

Bridgnorth Endowed School

## Malpractice Policy (Exams)

Centre name	Bridgnorth Endowed School
Centre number	29025
Date policy first created	11/10/2023
Current policy approved by	Mr D Street
Current policy reviewed by	Mr D Street
Date of review	06/10/2025
Date of next review	01/10/2026

## Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of centre	Mr M Penn
Senior leader(s)	Mr D Street Ms D Stanley Mr D Lewis Miss S Gresko Mrs S Underhill
Exams officer	Miss E Minifie
Other staff (if applicable)	

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at Bridgnorth Endowed School is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

## Introduction

### What are malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are distinct but related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification

which:

- gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

### Candidate malpractice

'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

### Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre, such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

### Centre malpractice

'Centre malpractice' normally involves malpractice where there is an element of systemic failure, a breach in policies or widespread malpractice such that a centre-level sanction is appropriate (SMPP 2)

### Suspected malpractice

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 1.9). (SMPP 2)

## Purpose of the policy

To confirm Bridgnorth Endowed School:

- has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use

of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

## General principles

In accordance with the regulations Bridgnorth Endowed School will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

## Preventing malpractice

Bridgnorth Endowed School has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
  - General Regulations for Approved Centres 2025-2026
  - Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2025-2026
  - Instructions for conducting coursework 2025-2026
  - Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2025-2026
  - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2025-2026
  - A guide to the special consideration process 2025-2026
  - Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2025-2026 (this document)
  - Plagiarism in Assessments
  - AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
  - Post Results Services June 2025 and November 2025
  - A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2025-2026
  - Guidance for centres on cyber security

(SMPP 3.2)

Additional information:

## Roles and responsibilities

## **Head of Centre**

Overall accountability; ensures prevention, detection, investigation, reporting, and communication of decisions. Appoints an impartial investigator if needed. Ensures parents/carers/appropriate adults are informed where the candidate is a child or adult at risk.

## **Exams Officer (Operational Lead)**

Manages day-to-day compliance with JCQ/awarding body requirements; coordinates reports (e.g., JCQ forms), retains records and evidence, and liaises with awarding bodies.

## **Heads of Department / Subject Leaders**

Ensure staff and candidates are trained on malpractice risks, NEA/coursework rules, authentication, referencing, and AI guidance.

## **SEnCo**

Ensures access arrangements are implemented compliantly and do not create malpractice risk.

## **All staff**

Must follow JCQ regulations; must immediately report suspected or alleged malpractice to the Exams Officer/Head of Centre; must preserve evidence and confidentiality.

## **Designated Safeguarding Lead (DSL)**

Ensures safeguarding procedures are applied where applicable.

## **Prevention and staff/candidate awareness**

### **Staff training and assurance at BES occurs annually covering:**

JCQ requirements; ICE; NEA/coursework procedures; authentication; plagiarism; AI use and AI misuse; record-keeping; and reporting duties.

Circulation and availability of current JCQ regulations and awarding body guidance.

Periodic internal audits of assessment practice and invigilation.

### **Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments**

### **Appropriate use of AI and AI misuse**

#### **What is AI?**

For this policy, AI refers to software tools that generate or transform text, code, images, audio, data, or designs based on prompts or inputs (e.g., "generative AI"). It can also include assistive AI for spelling/grammar, translation, summarisation, or transcription.

## When AI may be used

**Not permitted:** During **timed examinations** and any assessment sessions where access is explicitly prohibited under JCQ/awarding body rules.

**Permitted with conditions:** In **NEA/coursework/internal assessments** where research, drafting, or planning outside supervision is allowed, provided **the candidate's final submission is authentically their own work, all sources (including AI) are acknowledged**, and instructions from the awarding body/teacher are followed.

### AI acknowledgement requirements

Where AI has been used in permitted assessments, candidates **must:**

Include an **AI Use Statement** with their work (e.g., in the bibliography or acknowledgements) stating **which tool(s)** were used, **how**, and **for which parts** (e.g., idea generation, draft outline, proofreading).

**Provide full references** for any AI-assisted outputs that include specific facts, text, data, code, images, or designs not wholly authored by the candidate, alongside conventional sources.

Retain **prompt history, drafts, and versioned files** if requested, to evidence their own authorship and process.

### AI Use Statement (example template)

"I used an AI tool to brainstorm initial ideas about [topic] and to proofread grammar. I reviewed, edited, and authored the final work myself. No text, code, or images were copied verbatim from AI without appropriate acknowledgement. Date of AI use: [dd/mm/yyyy]."

### AI misuse (treated as malpractice)

Examples include (not exhaustive):

- Presenting **AI-generated content as one's own** without acknowledgement.
- Fabricating **citations, references, data, or analysis** using AI.
- Using AI in **prohibited conditions** (e.g., during exams or controlled assessments).
- Relying on AI to produce work that **does not evidence the candidate's own understanding**.
- Using AI to **evade detection** (e.g., paraphrasing solely to hide copying).
- Any use that **breaches awarding body instructions** or **JCQ regulations**.

### Staff responsibilities regarding AI

Teachers/assessors must:

- Make students aware of permitted use and risks.
- Use strategies to assure authenticity.
- Report suspected AI misuse immediately.
- Avoid implying that AI detectors are definitive; **judgement must rely on evidence**, process records, and professional assessment.

All staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations will advise students of the risks of

not following the rules and regulations they need to adhere to and how this can result in malpractice. They are made aware that all work produced must be their own and they must reference sources used. They are also advised of the risk of using AI, how using this tool can result in malpractice and warned of potential sanctions (warnings, mark reductions, disqualification, loss of aggregation, prohibition from future entries) determined by awarding bodies.

The staff at BES refer to the JCQ Guidance, AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications, to support the students understanding of AI.

### **Informing candidates**

Candidates are briefed each year and prior to assessments via assemblies, tutor briefings, subject sessions, posters, and the school website.

Candidates receive the relevant **JCQ Information for Candidates** (including the **AI (Artificial Intelligence) and assessments** information).

Candidates sign declarations of authentication where required and are reminded that all submitted work must be their **own**, with **sources and AI use properly acknowledged**.

### **AI use in assessments**

With reference to the JCQ Guidance for Teachers & Assessors - AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications: Students complete the majority of their exams and a large number of other assessments under close staff supervision with limited access to authorised materials and no permitted access to the internet. The delivery of these assessments should be unaffected by the developments in AI tools as the students must not be able to use such tools when completing these assessments. There are some assessments in which access to the internet is permitted in the preparatory, research or production stages. The majority of these assessments will be Non-Examined Assessments, coursework and internal assessments for General Qualifications (GQs). JCQs guidance, which is designed to help students and teachers to complete NEAs, coursework and other internal assessments successfully, is followed in relation to these assessments.

The following JCQ support resources are also used to help teachers understand and prevent AI misuse and to help students to better understand the rules for use of AI in assessments: Information Sheet for Teachers, Senior Leader Presentation for Teachers, Poster for Students, Teacher Presentation for Students.

Candidates will be issued with of the JCQ **Information for candidates - AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)** or similar centre document prior to completing their work/prior to signing the declaration of authentication.

## **Identification and reporting of malpractice**

### **Escalating suspected malpractice issues**

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels. (SMPP 4.3)

### **Candidate malpractice discovered before authentication (NEA/coursework)**

Where offences **relate to the content of work** (e.g., inappropriate/offensive content, copying/collusion, plagiarism (including AI misuse), or **false** declaration where not yet signed):

- **Do not** report to the awarding body at this stage.
- Address under **internal procedures** including **marking adjustments, resubmission decisions** (if permitted), and **record updates**.
- If the offence **does not relate to content** (e.g., possession of unauthorised materials, breach of assessment conditions), or **after** authentication is signed—**report** using JCQ/M1.

If a candidate has not yet been entered at the time of malpractice (but was intended to be entered), the

centre will **submit the entry by the relevant deadline** where required by JCQ.

### **Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body**

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Candidate malpractice offences relating to the content of work (i.e. inappropriate/offensive content, copying/collusion, plagiarism (including AI misuse) and/or false declaration of authentication) which are discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication, do not need to be reported to the awarding body. Instead, they will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures.

Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment where the offence does not relate to the content of candidates' work (e.g. possession of unauthorised materials, breach of assessment conditions) or where a candidate has signed the declaration of authentication, must be reported using a JCQ M1 to the relevant awarding body. If, at the time of the malpractice, there is no entry for that candidate (who the centre intended to enter), the centre is required to submit an entry by the required entry deadline. (SMPP 4.5)

- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence that an individual may have committed malpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of all the required information and the accused individual informed of their rights and responsibilities (SMPP 5.33-3.4)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report to the relevant awarding body summarising the information obtained and actions taken, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Additional information:

Not Applicable

### **Communicating malpractice decisions**

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

Additional information:

## Updating assessment records (improper assistance and internal findings)

BES will maintain accurate **assessment records** to reflect any findings of **improper assistance** or **malpractice**, including cases handled internally (e.g., candidate malpractice **before** authentication). This includes:

- **Candidate's assessment record** updated to reference the incident, outcome, and any marking **adjustments** or **restrictions** applied (with dates and staff signatures).
- **Authentication status** clearly recorded (e.g., "authentication not accepted; work resubmission required" or "authentication accepted following viva/oral clarification").
- **Centre's malpractice log** updated (unique case ID, date, individuals involved, summary, action taken, whether reported to awarding body).
- **MIS/markbook annotations** where permitted, ensuring data protection.
- **Retention** of evidence and records for the period required by JCQ/awarding bodies and at least until all reviews and appeals are concluded.

## Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice

Bridgnorth Endowed School will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ document **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes**

Additional information:

### Authenticity assurance: How teachers assure work is the student's own

To protect the integrity of assessments and provide staff assurance, BES uses a layered approach, which may include:

- **Supervised sessions** for key stages of NEA/coursework.
- **Staged submissions** (plan → draft → final) with **version control**.
- **Viva voce/oral questioning** to probe understanding and decision-making.
- **Individualised tasks/datasets**, and rotation/variation of briefs.
- **Draft and prompt logs**, including **AI prompts/outputs** where relevant.
- **Source lists, bibliographies, and citations** assessed for credibility.
- **Plagiarism detection tools** (where appropriate), with professional judgement; AI detectors are not **sole** evidence.
- **Teacher observation logs** and classroom notes.
- **Collaboration boundaries** made explicit; peer/parental assistance prohibited unless specified.

## Appendix A: Candidate checklist (to be shared with students)

- I understand the rules for exams, NEA, and coursework.

- I know that all work must be my own, with sources and AI use acknowledged.
- I will not use AI or unauthorised materials where prohibited.
- I will keep drafts, notes, prompt logs, and be ready to explain my work.
- I understand potential sanctions for malpractice.
- I will read the JCQ Information for Candidates documents (all available on the website) and follow any centre guidance.

## Changes 2025/2026

(Added) New heading **Centre malpractice** added.

(Added) Under heading **Preventing malpractice** added to the list of JCQ documents.

(Added/amended) Under heading **AI use in assessments**:

- additional/amended text added in bullet points to reflect slight changes in SMPP
- optional insert field added referencing the JCQ document **Information for candidates - AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)** or similar centre document.

(Amended) Under heading **Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body** text amended to reflect wording changes/additions in SMPP.

## Centre-specific changes

Upon review in October 2025 there are centre specific relating to the use of AI, added in the Authenticity Assurance and Appendix A.